IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

THURSDAY, THE 18TH OCTOBER 2007 / 26TH ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 23789 of 2007(F)

PETITIONER:

K.N.NARAYANAN,
S/O.NEELAKANTAN NAMBOODIRI, AGED 33 YEARS,
HIGH SCHOOL ASSISTANT (MATHS),
AIDED HIGH SCHOOL, PARUDUR, PALLIPPURAM,
PATTAMBI- 679 305, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.K.E.HAMZA

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.

2. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
OTTAPALAM, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

3. SMT.A.ANITHA,
HIGH SCHOOL ASSISTANT (MATHS),
AIDED HIGH SCHOOL, PARUDUR, PALLIPPURAM,
APTTAMBI- 679 305, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

4. SRI.K.N.NEELAKANDAN,
HIGH SCHOOL ASSISTANT (MATHS),
AIDED HIGH SCHOOL, PARUDUR, PALLIPPURAM,
PATTAMBI- 679 305, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

ADDL.R-5. THE MANAGER,
AIDED HIGH SCHOOL, PARUDUR,
PALLIPPURAM, PATTAMBI – 679 305,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

ADDL.R-5 IS IMPLEADED AS PER ORDER DTD.13.8.07 IN I.A.NO.10865/07.

BY ADV. SRI.P.S.SIDHAN
SRI.S.EASWARAN

R1 & R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.T.T.MAHAMOOD.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 18/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

(WP(C) No. 23789 OF 2007)

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

Ext.P1: Copy of Govt.Order dtd.6.2.2004.

Ext.P2: Copy of letter dtd.2.3.2007.

Ext.P3: Copy of Order dtd.7.5.2004.

Ext.P4: Copy of Govt.Order dtd.18.8.2001.

Ext.P5: Copy of Govt.Order dtd.23.11.2005.

Ext.P6: Copy of Govt.Order dtd.16.12.2005.

Ext.P7: Copy of Govt. Order dtd.5.7.2006.

Ext.P8: Copy of Govt.Order dtd.19.2.2007.

Ext.P9: Copy revision petition filed before the Government dtd.17.4.2007.

-True Copy-

P.A.to Judge.

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.P (C).NO. 23789 OF 2007

Dated this the 18th day of October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Against the refusal to approve the appointment of the petitioner as H.S.A.(Maths) from 27.7.2001, the petitioner has filed Ext.P9 revision petition before the Government. The petitioner seeks disposal of the same, expeditiously.

2. Counsel for the third respondent, who is the second respondent in the revision petition, submits that the revision petition itself has been filed beyond the limitation period prescribed in the rules and as such the same is not maintainable now. I feel that this also is a matter, which may be considered by the first respondent, while disposing of Ext.P9.

3. In the above circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the first respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P9, after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner

as well as respondents 3 to 5, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. While disposing of the same, the third respondent would be free to raise the contention of limitation which also shall be considered by the first respondent. I make it clear that I have not considered the matter on merits and it would be for the first respondent to consider every aspect of the matter including the question of limitation.

S. SIRI JAGAN,
Judge

Mbs/

S.SIRI JAGAN, J

W.P.(C) NO. OF 2001

J U D G M E N T

DATED: 07-09-2007